Case4:12-mj-70425-MAG Document26 Filed08/23/12 Page1 of 3 1 SUZANNE M. MORRIS (SBN 239324) Morris & Giacinti LLP 2 899 Ellis Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 513-5605 4 Facsimile: (415) 683-3176 5 Attorney for Defendant DONALD MILLS 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 OAKLAND DIVISION 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA No. 4-12-MJ-70425 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ORDER DOCUMENTING WAIVER 14 v. 15 DONALD MILLS. 16 Defendant. 17 18 With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters 19 this order vacating the preliminary hearing date of August 24, 2012, setting a new preliminary 20 hearing date for October 15, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., before the duty magistrate judge, extending the 21 time for the preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, and excluding 22 23 time under the Speedy Trial Act to October 15, 2012. 24 The parties agree and stipulate, and the Court finds and holds, as follows: 25 1. The defendant, Donald Mills, was charged in a complaint dated April 17, 2012, with one 26 count of conspiring to distribute heroin, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, one count of distribution 27 28 of heroin, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), and being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g). Mills was arrested and subsequently presented to the Court on or STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DOCUMENTING WAIVER No. 4-12-MJ-70425

about April 23, 2012. John Paul Reichmuth, Esq., was appointed to represent Mills. Mills was ordered detained pending trial.

- 2. On May 4, 2012, due to a conflict, Mr. Reichmuth withdrew as counsel and Suzanne M. Morris, Esq., was appointed to represent Mills. Since Ms. Morris's appointment, the parties have been trying to resolve this matter prior to the filing of indictment. Although the parties have conferred, and although the Government has voluntarily provided some materials relating to this case for counsel's review, these discussions have not yet been completed. Moreover, there have been some recent additional developments that may be material to the parties' discussions. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the August 24, 2012 preliminary hearing be continued until October15, 2012 to allow them additional time to pursue a potential disposition of this matter.
- 3. Taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the above-stated ground is good cause for extending the time limit for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, for the filing period for an indictment, and for excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant the continuance would deny the defense time for effective preparation and representation by seeking disposition of this matter prior to indictment on agreed-upon terms.
- 4. Accordingly, with the consent of the defendant, the Court hereby: (a) vacates the August 24, 2012 preliminary hearing date and extends the time for a preliminary hearing until October 15, 2012, before the duty magistrate judge, at 9:30 a.m.; and (b) orders that the period from August 24, 2012 until October 15, 2012 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DOCUMENTING WAIVER No. 4-12-MJ-70425